

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-5 and 8-22 remain in this application. Claims 9 and 17 have been amended. Claim 6 has been cancelled. Claims 1-5 and 8-14 are allowed.

**Claim Objections:**

Claims 6, 7, 15 and 16 were cancelled. As such, Applicant believes the claim objections should be removed.

Claim 9 was amended to include the following limitation: means for receiving and forwarding packets in response to the resource server system selection of control. As such, Applicant has not deleted the word “the”.

Claim 17 was amended to include the following limitations: generating statistic reports that affect a resource server system selection of control; and receiving and forwarding packets in response to the resource server system selection of control. As such, “the control of” was not inserted before “the forwarding of packets.” Further, Applicant could not locate the word “for” in the claim that the Examiner believed should be deleted.

**Claim Rejections:**

**35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 17-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi (US 2004/0109414) in view of Shin (US 2002/0138643).

Applicant does not believe that Choi or Shin teach or suggest the originally filed claims. However, purely in the interest of expediting the prosecution of the instant invention, Applicant has amended independent claim 17.

**Claim 17**

Currently amended claim 17 discloses a method of providing a multi-time scale resource management in a packet router, the method comprising:

managing a differentiated services policy information database that stores policies on forwarding packets in the packet router;

controlling forwarding of packets in the packet router based on adaptive selections of policies from the policy information database:

monitoring packet flows through the packet router based on an interpreted service level agreement related to the adaptive selections of policies from the policy information database:

generating statistic reports that affect a resource server system selection of control~~the forwarding of packets in the packet router~~; and

receiving and forwarding packets in response to the resource server system selection of control~~forwarding of packets in the packet router~~.

Support for the added limitations can be found at least in claim 9 which is in condition for allowance. As such, Applicant believes currently amended claim 17, as well as the claims that depend from it, are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be passed to allowance.

It is believed that the foregoing amendment places the Application in condition for allowance; therefore, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Examiner's rejection of claims 17-22, and full allowance of same. Should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned to expeditiously resolve any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,

ALCATEL LUCENT

Dated: 03/06/2009

/Raffi Gostanian/  
Raffi Gostanian  
Reg. No. 42,595

RG&Associates, LLC  
1103 Twin Creeks, Ste. 120  
Allen, TX 75013  
972.849.1310